



OCEAN DRILLING & EXPLORATION PVT.LTD.

Address:24 A,Shanti Nagar,Near Diamond Residency,Jhotwara,Jaipur-332012,Rajasthan
Ph. No. : +91-7023511786, +91-7017834649, Email : oceandrillingaea@gmail.com



**Proposal for Preliminary Exploration for
Rare earth Elements and associated
mineralization
in North of Kuship block,Balotra District,Rajasthan
(UNFC Stage-G3) under NMEDT**

Commodity:

Rare Earth Element and associated mineralization

**PROPOSED BY:
OCEAN DRILLING AND EXPLORATION PVT LTD
NOTIFIED PRIVATE EXPLORATION AGENCY
UNDER CATEGORY- A
(NABET/AEA/25/003)**

Summary of the Proposed North of Kuship block for G3 stage exploration

SI No	Features	Details
	Block ID	North of Kuship
	Exploration Agency	Ocean Drilling & Exploration Pvt Ltd.
	Previous Exploration Agency	GSI
	Previous stage Geological Report)	G-3
	Commodity	Rare earth elements
	Mineral Belt	Siwana ring complex
	Completion Period with entire schedule to complete the project	12 months
	Objectives	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> To establish the surface mineralization by systematic mapping and sampling of flows. To establish the subsurface continuity and estimate resource of REE and associated mineralisation.
	Whether the work will be carried out by the proposed agency or through outsourcing and Details thereof. Components to be outsourced and name of the outsource agency	All the work will be carried out by the proposed agency; some specialised work like GPL, Survey, Chemical analysis, etc may be outsourced
	Name / Number of Geoscientists	
	Expected Field days (Geology, Geophysics, Surveyor)	Geologist party days on field: 180 Geologist party days in HQ: 60
1.	Location	
	Latitude & Longitude	A: 24°43'51.748", 72°24'4.358" B: 25°44'12.743", 72°25'31.186" C: 25°43'39.883", 72°25'40.953" D: 25°43'26.997", 72°24'12.865"
	Villages	Kuship, Ramdev ji ki beri
	Tehsil/ Taluk	Siwana
	District	Balotra
	State	Rajasthan
2.	Area (hectares/ square kilometres)	
	Block Area	2.296 sq.km
	Forest Area	No forest available
	Government Land Area	Data not available
	Private Land Area	Data not available
3.	Accessibility	

	Nearest Rail Head	The nearest railway station is Balotra Junction, located approximately 40–50 km from the site, providing rail connectivity to major destinations like Jodhpur, Balotra, and Jaipur.
	Road	The area falls in south-western part of Rajasthan and is well connected by road and rail. The road connectivity to Jaipur is primarily through National Highway NH-25 and NH-62, which further links to NH-48, connecting directly to Jaipur. Metalled roads provide smooth access to the site, while jeepable tracks ensure last-mile connectivity for local travel.
	Nearest Airport	Jodhpur is the nearest airport from the study area which 120 km away.
4.	Hydrography	
	Local Surface Drainage Pattern (Channels)	The most of the study area is devoid of any major stream.
	Rivers/ Streams	
5.	Climate	Balotra district, located in the Thar Desert, experiences an arid climate with an average annual rainfall of 350 mm. The highest (1052 mm) and lowest (34 mm) annual rainfall were realized at Siwana. The region is characterized by extreme diurnal and seasonal temperature variations, with summer temperatures ranging from 46–51°C and winter lows occasionally dropping to 0°C. During winters, secondary western disturbances cause light rainfall, increased wind speeds, and a wind-chill effect, while the district's average annual temperature remains around 27.1°C.
	Avg. Annual Rainfall	The average rainfall 350 mm per annum.
	Temperatures Minimum	51°C.
	Maximum	0 °C.
6.	Topography	
	Toposheet number	45C/06
	Morphology of the Area	The area shows undulatory topography with knobby hills and rest area is sand covered.
7.	Availability of baseline geoscience data	
	Geological Map (1:50K/ 25K)	Available 1:50000 scale map
	Geochemical Map	Available 1:50000 scale map
	Geophysical Map (Aeromagnetic, ground geophysical, Regional as well as local scale GP maps)	Available 1:50000 scale map
	Justification for taking Preliminary Exploration under G3	A. Background Information The present block lies in northern part of Siwana ring complex (SRC). The SRC forms the major geomorphic features in toposheet 45C/6 lying in Balotra district, Rajasthan. The SRC forms a part of the MIS and occupy an area of about 1100km ² . It displays important features namely volcano-plutonic associations, anorogenic setting and holds potentials for rare earths and rare metals (Kochhar, 1992; Jain et al., 1996;

Vallinayagam and Kochhar, 1998; Bhushan and Chittora, 1999; Kochhar, 2000; Vallinayagam, 2001; Vallinayagam, 2004; Singh and Vallinayagam, 2009). The high hills of Siwana are composed of rhyolitic flows, basaltic flows, granite along with felsic-basic dykes. Previously Geological survey of India (GSI) and Atomic mineral Directorate (AMD) have intensively worked in SRC. Blanford (1877) and Hacket (1881), La Touche (1902), Coulson (1933), Ghosh (1932) carried out initial mapping and survey in these regions. Based on field relationship, mode and type of magmatism, texture and composition, MIS has been divided into three phases of magmatic activity. The first phase commenced with the eruption of basic flows, followed by voluminous outpouring of felsic lava flows and culminating in ash flow deposition. The second phase experienced intrusion of peraluminous, per-alkaline and meta-aluminous granitoids as plutons, ring dyke, bosses and plugs within the extrusive phase. The third phase represents the mafic and felsic dyke swarms and sills within the earlier two phases. The magmatic evolution of Siwana Ring Complex can be divided into three phases (Kumar and Sharma, 2020). First phase is represented by bimodal volcanism of acid and basic flows (acid flows >> basic flows). It is intruded by second, plutonic phase comprises arfvedsonite-reibeckite-aegirine bearing per-alkaline Siwana Granite. The third phase being later intrusives phase includes rhyolite, microgranite, andesite and felsite dykes. The plutonic phase is having intrusive relationship with volcanic phase. All these three phases host anomalous REE+Y concentration and third phase being more enriched (Kumar and Sharma, 2020). Granitoids of different ages (~ 1.8 Ga, ~1.7 Ga, ~1.4 Ga, ~ 1.1Ga and 850-750 Ma) have intruded the belts of basement rocks and overlying supracrustal belts of Aravalli and Delhi Supergroup (Bhushan, 1985). Three phases in Siwana magmatic activity is widely observed: i) the basal peralkaline (lower 24 flows), ii) middle meta-aluminous (top 21 flows), and iii) reappearance of peralkaline phase as intrusives (Siwana granite) at the end (Chittora and Bhushan, 1994).

Preliminary sampling of the rhyolites and associated tuffs of Bhimgoda Pahar and Koiliyasar-Ka-Pahar of Siwana Ring Complex, Balotra district, carried out by GSI during 2013-14, indicated anomalous REE values with REE ranging from 1334 to 2692ppm and 1075 to 3319ppm REE respectively (Rastogi & Mukherjee, 2015). Bidwai et al., 2014, reported the presence of high LREE, Zr, Nb, Th and U along with Ag in surface samples in the Siwana Ring Complex. Das et al., 2015, carried G4 investigation in Siwana eastern and central blocks and anomalous

value of REE were reported. Barman and Neogi, 2018 carried G-4 stage investigation in northern part of plutonic phase of Siwana Ring Complex and reported REE+Y ranging from 142.3 ppm to 0.85% in BRS samples. Kumar and Sharma, 2020, carried out G-4 investigation and reported REE+Y ranges in various lithounits are i) Plagioclase rich granite REE+Y = 0.029%-0.70%. ii) K-feldspar rich granite REE+Y= 0.047%-0.66%. iii) Younger Intrusives REE+Y= 0.019%-2.66%. iv) Felsic volcanic REE+Y = 0.015%-0.96% and v) Enclave/Restite/Soil REE+Y = 0.022%-1.27%. Apart from REE, rare metals and some trace elements also indicate very encouraging results, Zr (0.1% to 1.1%), Nb (2.5ppm to 1039ppm), Ba (25ppm to 3948ppm), Zn (120ppm to 1258ppm), U (0.61ppm to 124ppm), Th (2ppm to 481ppm) and Hf (4.52ppm to 828.18ppm). Shankar and Ghosh (2023) carried G4 stage of investigation in Sanji ki Beri-Meli block during FS 2021-22. Based on chemical analysis data of 203 BRS from rhyolite samples of study area yielded Σ REE+Y values ranging from 91.76ppm to 9764.68ppm, with average value of 1844.84ppm. Σ HREE/ Σ LREE ratio of the same is 0.15. 22 BRS samples from felsic/rhyolite dykes yielded Σ REE+Y values ranging from 144.77ppm to 7678.75ppm, with average value of 1400.14ppm. Σ HREE/ Σ LREE ratio of the same is 0.16. Σ REE+Y value in 109 channel samples ranging from 261.73 to 6224.81ppm, with Σ HREE/ Σ LREE ratio of 0.19. Rare earth elements in the study area were associated with peralkaline rhyolite flows.

The proposed block is based on the recommendation and encouraging result of TREE+Y values found in area.

B. Justification:

The Block is being proposed for G-3 level of exploration to establish the subsurface potentiality of mineralization based anomalous value of REE in bed rock samples.

The analytical results of BRS and channel samples in proposed area show TREE+Y values ranging from 525.13ppm to 4590ppm in rhyolitic flow no. 25 to 32 rhyolite and later felsic dykes.

Sample no	Latitude	Longitude	TREE	TREE+Y
			(in ppm)	
BRS/SM/109	25.72841	72.42383	1742.83	2376.18
BRS/SM/110	25.72845	72.42359	1582.57	1957.88
BRS/SM/111	25.72831	72.42135	2758.62	3441.29
BRS/SM/112	25.72814	72.42028	2206.99	2752.02

BRS/SM/113	25.72785	72.41901	2616.96	3279.75
BRS/SM/114	25.72995	72.41744	3105.58	4590.14
BRS/SM/115	25.72963	72.42144	2757.99	3355.05
BRS/SM/116	25.73005	72.42239	2131.12	2658.91
BRS/SM/172	25.73142	72.42497	2833.59	4077.84
BRS/SM/173	25.73168	72.42032	2107.66	2789.44
BRS/SM/174	25.73503	72.42327	1059.01	1413.8
BRS/SM/175	25.73237	72.41777	2514.51	3294.87
BRS/SM/223	25.72864	72.40265	412.58	507.01
BRS/SM/224	25.73185	72.40669	439.8	544.69
BRS/SM/225	25.72773	72.41044	1347.19	1918.17
CH7/SM/2	25.728689	72.422116	622.9	725.45
CH7/SM/1	25.728689	72.422116	673.73	788.17
CH13/SM/5	25.72864	72.40265	1075.32	1383.23
CH13/SM/4	25.72864	72.40265	544.44	668.62
CH13/SM/3	25.72864	72.40265	3110.85	3882.77
CH13/SM/2	25.72864	72.40265	2696.35	3414.78
CH13/SM/1	25.72864	72.40265	3336.85	4184.25
CH14/SM/5	25.732028	72.419696	2316.85	3010.67
CH14/SM/4	25.732028	72.419696	2181.46	2817.85
CH14/SM/3	25.732028	72.419696	1818.34	2476.63
CH14/SM/2	25.732028	72.419696	3137.56	4076.14
CH14/SM/1	25.732028	72.419696	1960.38	2641.09

In the view of the above encouraging result, the proposed block needs to be explored in G-3 stage.

Project Approval Status

- The exploration project titled “Preliminary Exploration (G3) for REE and associated mineralization in North of Kuship Block Balotra District ,Rajasthan was granted In-Principle Approval during the 10th Technical Committee (TCC-II) Meeting held on **23rd ,24th ,25th June 2025.**
- Subsequently, the **Detailed Project Report (DPR)** of the project was considered and Technical discussion during the **11th TCC-II Meeting** held on **23rd ,24th ,25th and 30th July 2025.**
- Cost sheet Was Revised as per New SoC During 89th TCC-I held on 30th and 31st January 2026.
- Project received final approval in the 5th PSC Meeting held on **9th Feb 2026.**
- Accordingly, the project was sanctioned for execution under NMEDT funding.

1. BLOCK SUMMARY

1.1 Physiography

The proposed North of Kuship Block is part of the Survey of India toposheet No. 45C/06, Balotra district, Rajasthan. The proposed block marked on toposheet no 45C/06 is attached in Plate I. Total area of the block is 2.296 sq. km. Siwana area is characterised by arcuate ridges as well as isolated hillocks which are arranged in a semicircular fashion around Siwana. The ridges forming the northern half of the semicircle extend parallel to the strike of the rhyolite flows and show steep escarpments on their northern side and gentle slopes towards south. Sand dunes and sand sheet occur in the area occupying all intervening area among hills and ridges. Proposed area lies in the northern part of hills exposed in Siwana.

1.2 Background Geology (Regional Geology, Geology of the Block)

1.2.1 Regional Geology: Geologically, the study area is part of Malani igneous suite (MIS) which comes under Trans-Aravalli region. MIS is predominantly composed of volcanic acidic rocks and shows an assemblage of polyphase igneous activities. The term 'Malani' is specifically associated with the rocks formed during a significant Neoproterozoic polyphase igneous event, which occurred roughly between 830 million years ago (Ma) and 680 Ma. This period represents a geological gap between the deposition of the Sirohi Group of the Delhi Supergroup (Choudhary et al., 1984) and the overlying Marwar Supergroup (Rathore et al., 1999). The Malani Igneous Suite (MIS) unconformably overlies the Mesoproterozoic rocks of the Delhi Supergroup (Bhushan, 2000), and this contact has been studied extensively near Manihari and Kankani (La Touche, 1902; Bhushan, 1984; Chittora & Bhushan, 1992; Bhushan, 2000; Nayak & Bhamboo, 2013).

The earliest recorded volcanic activity within the MIS is from the Diri and Gurapratap Singh areas, where the rhyolites have been dated at approximately 779 ± 10 Ma (Rathore et al., 1996). These volcanics likely represent the oldest components of the suite. In contrast, the youngest known phase of the MIS is represented by the ultrapotassic intrusions in the Diri, Gurapratap Singh, and Manihari areas, dated at around 681 ± 20 Ma (Rathore et al., 1999).

Overlying the MIS non-conformably are the sedimentary rocks of the Marwar Supergroup, which were earlier considered equivalents of the Vindhyan Supergroup (Chittora & Bhushan, 1990–94). Earlier studies by Crawford and Compston (1970) suggested that the Malani igneous activities commenced after the emplacement of the Erinpura and Abu Granites. However, Bhushan (1981) observed at Siyana in Jalore district that rhyolites belonging to the MIS overlie the Abu Granite, which was previously dated to around 800 ± 50 Ma (Choudhary et al., 1984). More recent U–Pb dating by Solanki (2011) indicates that the Abu Granite formed between 763 ± 3 Ma and 766 ± 4 Ma, leading to its reclassification as part of the Malani magmatism itself (de Wall et al., 2012).

The igneous activity within the MIS is understood to have begun with felsic volcanism, followed by granite emplacement, and concluding with the intrusion of dyke swarms (Bhushan, 1984; 2000). The origin of the Malani magmas remains a subject of debate. Some geologists suggest they were derived from the melting of the lower crust in a rift-related setting (Bhushan, 2000; Singh & Vallinayagam, 2013), while others propose a mantle plume origin (Eby & Kochhar, 1990). Further models link the MIS to a superplume below South China, associating it with the break-up of the supercontinent Rodinia (Li et al., 1999; Torsvik et al., 2001). An alternate

hypothesis suggests a subduction-related origin, involving the Mozambique Ocean between Seychelles–Madagascar and northwestern India (Ashwal et al., 2002; Torsvik et al., 2001; Tucker et al., 2001).

Within the MIS, two important granite emplacements have been recognized. The Jalore Granite has been dated to approximately 727 ± 8 Ma, and the Siwana Granite to 693 ± 8 Ma (Rathore et al., 1999). However, a study by Dhar et al. (1996) proposes that the Siwana Granite is contemporaneous with the Jalore Granite, dating it to around 723 ± 6 Ma, and considers it to be mantle-derived. The Siwana Granite, being peralkaline in nature (Eby & Kochhar, 1990), intrudes the surrounding rhyolites in a distinctive ring-like pattern, leading to the nomenclature Siwana Ring Complex (SRC). This pattern was first observed in early works by Coulson (1933), Murthy (1962), and Mukherjee & Roy (1981). The volcanic flows in the Siwana area also display an elliptical geometry, with a gentle inward slope towards the center, further supporting the ring-complex interpretation.

Based on field relationship, mode and type of magmatism, texture and composition, MIS has been divided into three phases of magmatic activity. The first phase commenced with the eruption of basic flows, followed by voluminous outpouring of felsic lava flows and culminating in ash flow deposition. The second phase experienced intrusion of peraluminous, per-alkaline and meta-aluminous granitoids as plutons, ring dyke, bosses and plugs within the extrusive phase. The third phase represents the mafic and felsic dyke swarms and sills within the earlier two phases. Regional geology of Siwana ring complex is given in Plate-I. A regional stratigraphic succession of Trans-Aravalli region is given in the Table 1.

Table 1: Regional lithostratigraphy of Trans-Aravalli region:

Group/Supergroup	Age	Rock type
Marwar Supergroup (Jodhpur group)	Vendian to Lower Cambrian	Maroon and golden sandstone, siltstone and shale
-----Unconformity-----		
Pokharan Boulder bed	Vendian	Scattered boulders and pebbles of glacial origin
-----Unconformity-----		
Malani Igneous suite	Neo-Proterozoic	Bimodal volcanics, granites and dyke swarm
-----Unconformity-----		
Delhi Supergroup (Basement)	Mesoproterozoic to Neoproterozoic	Abu and Erinpura Granite Metasediments of Sirohi and Pali area Unspecified gneisses of Balewa-Harsani area (Archean Supracrustals?)

1.2.2 Geology of the Block:

Major lithologies exposed in proposed block is flows of rhyolite and later felsic dykes along with aeolian sand. Total 8 flows of rhyolite (flow 25 to 32) with felsic dykes have been mapped in the study area. The general flow trend is NNE-SSW to NE-SW, with the layers dipping towards the SE at an angle of 15° to 25° . Geological map (1:12500) showing major lithounit in proposed area is attached in Plate-II.

Flow 25 is rhyolite with varying colours from reddish brown and often contains ash material at the top part. Both tabular and lath-shaped phenocrysts of K-feldspar are present within fine-grained groundmass. Phenocryst size varies from 1mm to 5mm with phenocryst to groundmass ratio (P:G Ratio) 02:98.

Flow 26 is rhyolite in composition, consisting of tabular and lath-shaped K-feldspars along with few specks of glass within brown-coloured groundmass. Size of the phenocrysts varies from 1mm to 5cm, and approximate P: G ratio is 10:90.

Flow 27 has relatively larger K-feldspar phenocrysts of tabular and lath shaped, varying in size from 5mm to 2cm with fine-grained, brown-coloured groundmass. Phenocryst to groundmass ratio is approximately 15:85.

Flow 28 is greyish brown coloured rhyolite consisting of sparsely distributed small laths of K-feldspars which vary in size from 0.1cm to 0.5cm, where P: G ratio is approximately 02:98.

Flow 29 is porphyritic rhyolite consists of laths and tabular-shaped K- feldspar, varying in size from 1mm to 1cm within dark brown coloured groundmass with P: G ratio of 10:90.

Flow 30 is brown-coloured porphyritic rhyolite consisting of mostly tabular and rarely lathshaped K-feldspar phenocrysts, varying in size from 1mm to 5mm with an approximate P: G ratio of 15:85.

Flow 31 consists of both lath and tabular shaped phenocrysts of K-feldspar, varying in size from 1mm to 5mm. The phenocrysts are observed within dark, grey-coloured groundmass, suggesting porphyritic texture. Phenocryst to groundmass ratio is approximately 10:90.

Flow 32 is porphyritic rhyolite that contains tabular shaped phenocrysts of K-feldspar, varying in size from 1mm to 5cm, within light grey groundmass. Here phenocrysts to groundmass ratio are approximately 10:90.

Felsic dykes are showing coarse grained texture, primarily composed of K-feldspar, Na-feldspar and quartz. Often K-feldspars are rimmed by Na-feldspar.



Figure 1. Panoramic view showing dipping REE enriched rhyolitic flow no 31



Figure 2: Exposure of REE mineralized rhyolite exposed in southern part of proposed North of Kuship block

1.2.3 Structure and Framework of the Block

Exposed lithounits don't show any remarkable deformation event. Primary igneous layering and joints are common. Secondary vesicles and amygdules are also visible in the lithounits. Joints are major secondary structures in the area. Three sets of prominent joints are usually seen, which are near vertical. Based on the crosscutting relationship, the older joint (J1) trends in NS to NE-SW with near vertical dip, the second phase of joint (J2) trends in NW-SE direction with moderate dip and the youngest joint (J3) trends in E-W, ENE to WNW with moderate to low angle. Another set of joint planes crudely developed was sub-horizontal parallel to flow direction.

1.3 Mineral Potentiality Based on Geology and Geochemistry

The Siwana Ring Complex is a prominent component of the Malani Igneous Suite and occupies an area of approximately 1100 square kilometers, extending 30 km east–west and 25 km north–south. It exhibits classic features of volcano-plutonic association in an anorogenic setting and is recognized for its potential to host rare earth elements (REEs) and rare metals (Kochhar, 1992; Jain et al., 1996; Vallinayagam & Kochhar, 1998; Bhushan & Chittora, 1999; Kochhar, 2000; Vallinayagam, 2001, 2004; Singh & Vallinayagam, 2009). The analytical results of BRS and channel samples in proposed block show TREE+Y values ranging from 525.13ppm to 4590ppm in rhyolitic flow no. 25 to 32 rhyolite and later felsic dykes. Y, Ce, Sm, Nd and Hf are comparatively more enriched. Dy also ranges upto 236ppm.

1.4. Scope for Proposed Exploration

This project proposes a preliminary exploration at the G-3 stage consisting of detailed geological and topographical mapping on 1:2,000 in North of Kuship block of 2.296 sq. km area to establish the surface mineralization by systematic mapping and sampling of flows and to establish the subsurface continuity and estimate resource of REE and associated mineralisation. Total 3650m of drilling is proposed with 31 vertical boreholes of nearly 120±20m depth is planned on 400m x 200m grid, chemical analysis of the core samples, estimation of resources under G3 category and report preparation.

1.5 Recommendations of previous exploration work

1. Lal, S., Ghosh, I. (2023) carried G4 stage of investigation in Sanji ki Beri-Meli block during FS 2021-22. The proposed block is based on the recommendation and encouraging result of TREE+Y values found in North of Kuship area of G4 stage exploration done during FS 2021-22.
2. Systematic deep drilling activities to know the extent of REE enriched flows showing remarkable values of TREE+Y.
3. Rare earth elements in the study area are associated with peralkaline rhyolite flows.

Based on these facts the proposed area was recommended for further stage of exploration (G-3) to delineate prospective zones. As per the recommendation the North of Kuship Block is being proposed for further exploration with closed spacing boreholes.

1.6 Objectives of Exploration

1. To establish the surface mineralization by systematic mapping and sampling of flows.
2. To drill 31 nos. of vertical boreholes at 400m x 200m grid pattern to establish depth and strike persistence of REE and associated mineralisation in rhyolitic flows.
3. Estimate resources for REE along with any accessory elements (if any) as per UNFC norms and minerals (evidence of mineral contents) rules - 2015 at the G-3 level.

2.0 PREVIOUS WORK

Previous Exploration details in the proposed block area:

1. **Blanford (1877)** was among the earliest geologists to study the Malani rocks. He introduced the term 'Malani beds' to describe these volcanic rocks, which included porphyritic lavas and ash beds.
2. **Hackett (1881)** recorded the occurrence of schist at the contact zone of Malani rhyolites to the south of Jodhpur. He also described the nature of the volcanics in the Jodhpur region and examined their relationship with overlying sandstones.
3. **La Touche (1902)** conducted detailed work on the Malani volcanics, providing insights into their eruptive nature, physical characteristics, and petrography. He interpreted Siwana granite as an intrusive phase into the Malani rhyolite and reported rhyolite occurrences near Rajpura in the Kankani area.
4. **Ghosh (1932)** studied borehole data around Jodhpur and discovered basic lava flows beneath felsic flows, suggesting a basic magma as the source for both lava types.
5. **Coulson (1933)** included these rocks in the 'Malani system' and described Siwana granite as a hornblende granite occurring in a nearly continuous ring within the volcanic terrain.
6. **Mukherjee (1958)** identified Siwana granite as a coarse, porphyritic, hornblende granite lacking mica and proposed that the erupted rocks form a doubly plunging syncline.
7. **Murthy et al. (1961)** referred to the rhyolites and granites as the Malani Suite of igneous rocks, a term later used by **Venkataraman et al. (1968)** and modified as Malani Igneous Suite by **Ray (1998)**.
8. **Chittora and Bhushan (1994)** observed three distinct phases of magmatism in Siwana: a basal peralkaline volcanic phase, a middle metaluminous phase, and a reappearance of the peralkaline phase as intrusive Siwana granite.
9. **Dhar et al. (1996)** dated the Siwana granite at ~745 Ma and described it as peralkaline in nature with high REE enrichment.

10. **Singh and Vallinayagam (2009)** characterized the MIS as comprising peralkaline, metaluminous to mildly peralkaline, and peraluminous granites with associated acid volcanics, ring structures, and radial dykes.
11. **Rastogi and Mukherjee (2015)** carried out preliminary sampling of rhyolites and associated tuffs from Bhimgoda Pahar and Koiliyasar-ka-Pahar in the Siwana Ring Complex and reported anomalous \sum REE values ranging from 1334 to 3319 ppm.
12. **Bidwai et al. (2014)** reported high surface concentrations of LREE, Zr, Nb, Th, U, and Ag in the Siwana Ring Complex.
13. **Barman and Neog (2018)** conducted G-4 stage investigations in the northern plutonic phase of the Siwana Ring Complex and observed \sum REE+Y ranging from 142.3 ppm to 0.85%.
14. **Kumar and Sharma (2020)** proposed a three-phase magmatic evolution model for the Siwana Ring Complex—comprising bimodal volcanism, a plutonic phase with arfvedsonite–riebeckite–aegirine-bearing peralkaline granite, and a final phase of younger felsic intrusives. They reported enriched \sum REE+Y concentrations across various lithounits (ranging from 0.015% to 2.66%) and significant trace element values for Zr, Nb, Ba, Zn, U, Th, and Hf.
15. **Lal and Ghosh (2023)** carried out G-4 stage investigations in the Sanji ki Beri–Meli block during FS 2021–22 and reported \sum REE+Y values ranging from 91.76 ppm to 10130 ppm in 203 BRS rhyolite samples, with an average value of 1844.84 ppm.

3.0 BLOCK DESCRIPTION

Proposed G3 investigation area

The area falls under Survey of India Toposheet no. 45C/06 and covers about 2.296 sq. km. Localities present in the vicinity include Kuship and Ramdevji ki Beri villages. The coordinates of the proposed North of Kuship Block's corner points are given in table below.

PROPOSED AREA - North of Kuship block (2.296 sq.km)				
CARDINAL POINTS	LATITUDE (DMS)	LATITUDE (DD)	LONGITUDE (DMS)	LONGITUDE (DD)
A	24°43'51.748"	24.73104111°	72°24'4.358"	72.40121056°
B	25°44'12.743"	25.73687306°	72°25'31.186"	72.42532944°
C	25°43'39.883"	25.72774528°	72°25'40.953"	72.4280425°
D	25°43'26.997"	25.72416583°	72°24'12.865"	72.40357361°

4.0 PLANNED METHODOLOGY

4.1 Methodology of exploration: A previously underexplored area covering 2.296 sq. km within the Siwana Igneous Complex of western Rajasthan has been proposed as the North of Kuship block for the exploration of Rare Earth Elements (REE) and associated critical minerals such as Yttrium, Niobium, Zirconium, and Thorium. The objective of the exploration is aligned with the requirements of the Preliminary Exploration (G-3) stage as defined by the Minerals (Evidence of Mineral Contents) Rules, 2015 and subsequent amendments in 2021. The primary aim of this exploration is to assess the lateral and vertical continuity of REE-enriched zones hosted in rhyolitic flows exposed in proposed block. Accordingly, the following scheme of exploration has been formulated:

Geological Mapping (1:2,000 Scale): Detailed geological mapping will be carried out on the entire proposed block at 1:2,000 scale. The area is dominantly covered by rhyolitic flow, few dyke in N-S direction and lower lying with aeolian sand of Thar formation. Structural elements such as joints, flow bands, faults (if any), and lithological contacts will be mapped and interpreted to delineate REE-bearing lithounits.

- a) **Surveying:** The block boundary will be demarcated using DGPS and Total Station instruments in WGS-84 datum. Contouring at 3m intervals will be done. Borehole locations will be fixed with accurate RL and coordinates prior to drilling. During the detailed mapping rock types along with their contact and structural features, if any will be demarcated by the using DGPS/Total Station. Further, during the drilling programme, the survey party will carry out borehole fixation and determination of the reduced levels and coordinates of the proposed 31 nos. of vertical boreholes.
- b) **Core Drilling:** A total of 31 vertical boreholes are proposed at a grid pattern of 400m × 200m to probe the continuity of mineralized rhyolite flows, location maybe shifted based on the local terrain condition/local issues. Depth of boreholes will vary from 100m to 140m, based on terrain and geological interpretation. All boreholes will be fully cored (HQ). Drilling will aim to intersect REE-enriched flow units (e.g., flow-25 to flow-32) and felsic intrusions, if any. Total 3650m of drilling is proposed. Location with tentative planning of the proposed boreholes is given in Plate-III and IV.
The locations of these boreholes are tentative and may be changed due to local issue/terrain condition.
- c) **Geophysical logging:** Natural gamma, resistivity, magnetic susceptibility log will be performed, as per the availability with empanelled agency for all boreholes to support lithological and mineralogical correlation. **AS per TCC-II** comments borehole Geophysical Logging (5 BH of 350m each) is approved, rest boreholes will be discussed in the review meeting and accordingly will be logged.
- d) **Drill Core Logging:** All cores will be systematically logged for lithology, grain size, texture, color, mineralogy, alteration, structures (flow banding, joints, etc.), and visual REE indicators (e.g., zircon, monazite, fluorite, bastnaesite). Rock Quality Designation (RQD) will also be recorded for geotechnical understanding.
- e) **Surface and drill Core Sampling:** Systematic surface sampling will be carried out while mapping. All the rhyolitic flows will be sampled to understand the strike wise variation in REE enrichment in flow. Core samples will be marked based on visual mineralization, geophysical indication of mineralization, alteration, and lithotype. Length of the core samples will be kept at 50cm. A total of 850 primary core samples, and 85 check samples (10%) will be analysed for trace elements (Be, Ge, Y, Mo, Sn, U, Th, Nb, Ta, Hf, Zr, Ti, Ga, Sc, W, Rb, Sr, Ba) and REEs (La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu).

4.2 Laboratory studies:

- a) **BRS/Channel sample and core sample:** A total 100 nos. of bed rock samples and 50 trench samples with 15 nos. of check samples (10%) and a total 850 nos. of primary core samples, with 85 nos. of check samples (10%) will be analysed for REE and associated trace elements.

- b) **Petrochemical samples (PCS):** A total 30 nos. of samples will be collected for whole rock analysis for classification of rocks and understand the associated mineralization with different litho-unit present in proposed block.
- c) **Petrological sample preparation and study:** A total 25 nos. of thin polished section/ore microscopy samples will be prepared from surface and drill core. These samples will be studied to understand mineralogical, textural relationship with ore mineralization.
- d) **Geotechnical study:** Specific Gravity along with porosity will be determined for 20 nos. samples from the mineralised zones intersected in the boreholes for the resource estimation. Bulk density will be determined for total 20 samples.
- e) **XRD studies:** A total of 10 nos. samples shall be subjected to XRD studies for the determination of mineral phase.
- f) **EPMA studies:** A total of 10 nos. samples shall be subjected to EPMA studies for the determination of mineralized phase.

4.3 Estimation of resources

Estimation of resources will be done according to UNFC norms and the Minerals Evidence & Mineral Contents (MEMC) Rule—2015 at the G-3 level and to meet the NMEDT objectives.

4.4 Report preparation

Submission of reports will be done as per the recommendations of NMEDT in compliance with G3 level as per MEMC 2015 and suggestions for follow-up work to upgrade the project, if deemed necessary.

5.0 NATURE, QUANTUM AND TARGET

The nature and quantum of work proposed are given in Table:

Preliminary exploration for the Rare earth element in North of Kuship, Siwana, Balotra District, Rajasthan Area-2.296 sq.km, Scale:1:2,000			
Sl. No.	Item of work	Unit	Qty.
1.	Geological mapping (1:2,000)	Sq.km	2.296
2.	i) DGPS Survey of 31 nos. boreholes and 04 boundary points	Days	35 days
	ii) Total Station survey for detailed mapping and contouring	Days	30 days
3.	Drilling 31 nos. of vertical boreholes	meters	3650m
4.	Geophysical studies of borehole (Spectral Gamma, Natural gamma, Resistivity, Neutron, Magnetic susceptibility, Dual Density)	m	3650m
5.	Laboratory studies		
	i) BRS/Trench sample (Primary for REE and associated trace elements)	Nos.	150
	ii) Check BRS/Channel Sample (10%)	Nos.	15

	i) Drill core samples (Primary for REE and associated trace elements)	Nos.	850
	ii) Check core samples (10%)	Nos.	85
	Whole rock analysis (Surface and sub-surface)	Nos.	30
	Petrological samples preparation (For mineralogical, textural and ore study)	Nos.	25
	X-ray diffraction studies	Nos.	10
	EPMA	Nos.	10
	Complete petrographic/ore microscopic study(along with 5 nos. digital photo micrographs)	Nos.	25
	Bulk Density	Nos.	20
	Report preparation (5 Hard copies with a soft copy)	Nos.	1
	Preparation of exploration proposal (5 Hard copies with a soft copy)	Nos.	1

6.0 TIMELINE

Annexure 2B															
Time Schedule/Action Plan for Preliminary exploration (G3) for Rare Earth Elements and associated mineralization in North of Kuship Block, Balotra District, Rajasthan															
S.no.	Activity	Months/ Days	Month												
			1	2	3	4	5	6	Review	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Camp setting	months													
2	Geological mapping and Sampling	days													
3	Topographic Survey	days													
4	Surface Drilling(3 rigs)	m													
5	Core logging and sampling														
6	Geophysicist party days (HQ) for data interpretation & Report	Days													
7	Geologist Man Days (Field)	Days													
8	Geologist Man Days (HQ)	Days													
9	Sampler Man days	Days													
10	Laboratory studies	Nos.													
11	Report Writing with Peer Review	months													
12	Camp Winding	months													

S. No. 09.10.2026

09/10/2026

7.0 MAN POWER DEPLOYMENT

Manpower deployment plan is summarized below.

Activity	Type of Job	Geologist/Geophysicist (HQ manday)	Geologist/Geophysicist (Field manday)	Labour (Manday)	Sampler (Manday)
Geological mapping, sampling	Field	10	80	200	30
Laboratory studies	Desk + Field	10	-	-	-
Petrographic studies	Desk	5	-	-	-
Geophysical survey	Field	15	25	-	-
Core drilling, logging, sampling	Field	10	100	384	116
Report preparation	Desk	10	-	-	-

8.0 BREAK-UP OF EXPENDITURE:

Annexure 2A

Preliminary exploration (G3) for Rare Earth Elements and associated mineralization in North of Kuship Block, Balotra District, Rajasthan Area: 2.3 sq.km,
Scale 1:2,000
(Schedule timeline: 12 months Review: After 06 Months)

S. No.	Item of Work *	Unit *	Rates as per NMEDT SoC 2025-26		Estimated Cost of the Proposal As per Previous SoC	Total Amount (Rs) as per SoC 2025-26	Remarks
			SoC-Item No.*	Rates as per SoC*	Qty. (b)		
A	Geological Mapping, Other Geological Work & Surveying						
	a.Geological Mapping(DM)(1:2000 scale)with Countouring	Per sq.km.	1.1	18300	2.3	42,090	
1	b. Charges for Geologist per day (Field) Geological mapping (1:2,000 scale)	day	1.2.1a.b	14,500	180	26,10,000	
	c. Labours Charges; Base rate	day	5.8	541	360	1,94,760	Amount will be reimbursed as per the notified rates by the Central Labour Commissioner or respective State Govt. whichever is higher.
3	a. Charges for Geologist per day (HQ)	day	1.2.1a.a	10,500	60	6,30,000	
4	a. Charges for one Sampler per day (1 Party)	one sampler per day	1.2.1b	7,850	146	11,46,100	
	b. Labours (4 Nos)	day	5.8	541	584	3,15,944	Amount will be reimbursed as per the notified rates by the Central Labour Commissioner or respective State Govt. whichever is higher.
Sub Total- A						49,38,894	
B	Survey work						
1	DGPS Survey for BH fixation & RL determination along with the demarcation of block boundary	Per Point of observation	1.3.2	24,000	35	840000	
2	a. Charges of Surveyor (1 party) for Detail mapping and contouring by total station (outsource)	one surveyor per day	1.3.1	10,500	45	472500	
	b. Labour Charges for survey work (4 Nos)	day	5.8	541	180	97380	Amount will be reimbursed as per the notified rates by the Central Labour Commissioner or respective State Govt. whichever is higher.
Sub-Total B						14,09,880	
C	DRILLING (In-house)						
1	Drilling up to 300m (Hard Rock), HQ	m	2.2.1.1d	10000	3650	3,65,00,000	In the initial phase 4 BH will be drilled one on each grid line.The Subsequent drilling will be after the review of results
2	Land / Crop Compansation (in case the BH falls in agricultural Land)	per BH	5.6	30,000	31	9,30,000	As per actuals
3	Construction of concrete Pillar (12"x12"x30")	per borehole	2.2.7	2000	31	62,000	
4	Borehole plugging by cement	BH	2.2.8	10000	31	310000	

S.W. 04.02.26

Handwritten signature/initials

5	Miscellaneous charges(Transportation of Drilling Rig, Accommodation for drill Camp, Camp setting and winding, construction of Approach road and drill core preservation).	2.2.9(4)	For Drilling cost more than 2 crore:10% of the Drilling Cost with a maximum ceiling of INR 25 Lakh.			25,00,000	Core Preservation of 1000 m to be done	
Sub Total C						4,03,02,000		
D	Borehole Geophysical Logging							
1	Borehole Geophysical Logging (5 bh of 350m each)	(5 bh of 350m each)	3.12	1088941	1	10,88,941		
Sub Total D						10,88,941		
E	LABORATORY STUDIES							
1	Chemical Analysis							
	Primary samples							
i)	a. Analysis of one rock sample for determination of package by 34 elements by ICP-MS	Nos	4.1.16f	7,400	1,000	74,00,000	The quantum of sampling will be determined after the results of initial 4 BHs (100 BRS, 50 Trench)	
	b. Check samples Internal (10%)	Nos	4.1.16f	7,400	100	7,40,000		
2	Petro Chemical Samples (Whole rock analysis)							
i)	Major oxides by XRF	Nos	4.1.17a	4,200	30	1,26,000		
	b. Check samples Internal (10%)	Nos	4.1.17a	4,200	3	12,600		
iii)	Analysis of one rock sample for determination of a package by 34 elements/radicals by ICP-MS	Nos	4.1.15	7,400	30	2,22,000		
	b. Check samples Internal (10%)	Nos	4.1.15	7,400	3	22,200		
3	XRD analysis for identification of minerals (Random)	Nos	4.5.2	4,000	10	40,000		
4	Physical & Petrological Studies							
i)	Preparation of thin section	Nos	4.3.2	800	25	20,000		
ii)	Complete petrographic/ore microscopic study/mineralgraphic report of rock sample(along with 5 nos. digital photo micrographs)	per Sample	4.3.4	2800	25	70000		
iii)	Bulk Density	Nos	4.8.3	2500	20	50,000		
iv)	EPMA	per hour	4.4.1	10,500	10	1,05,000		
Sub Total E						88,07,800		
H	Total A to E						5,65,47,515	
I	Geological Report Preparation	5 Hard copies with a soft copy	5.2(iii)	7,50,000		7,50,000	Reimbursement will be made after submission of the final Geological Report in Hard Copies (5 Nos) and the soft copy to NMEDT.	
J	Peer review Charges		As per EC decision	30,000		30,000		
K	Preparation of Exploration Proposal (5 Hard copies with a soft copy)	5 Hard copies with a soft copy	5.1	5,00,000		5,00,000	EA will be reimbursed after submission of the Hard Copies and the soft copy of the final proposal along with Maps and Plan as suggested by the TCC- NMEDT in its meeting while clearing the proposal.	
L	Total Estimated Cost without GST						5,78,27,515	
M	Provision for GST (18% of J)						1,04,08,953	GST will be reimburse as per actual and as per notified prescribed rate
N	Total Estimated Cost with GST						6,82,36,468	
O	Rs. In lacs						682.36	
Note:								
1	Strict adherence to the Ministry of Finance's and GFR guidelines is mandatory. Every transaction must adhere to GFR rule 21.							
2	In case of delay/non- performance, the appropriate action will be taken by competent authority against delinquent agency as per prevailing govt. of India rules/guidelines on procurement.							
3	If any part of the project is outsourced, the amount will be reimbursed as per Item no. 6 of NMEDT SoC. In case of execution of the project by NEA on its own, a Certificate regarding non outsourcing of any component/project is required.							
4	Necessary efforts should be made to minimize any adverse impact on the environment during exploration activities.							
5	Any item of work not mentioned above shall be added as per SoC.							
6	All the Geological Reports and data are to be uploaded on NGDR as per MERT template by the agency							

S. W. 09.10.26

07/10/2026

9.0 REFERENCE

1. Barman, B.J and Neog, P., 2018. Reconnaissance survey for Rare Earth Elements Mineralization in Siwana area, Barmer district, Rajasthan. GSI, Unpublished Report, FS 2017-18.
2. Bhushan, S.K. (1981) Classification of Malani Igneous suite. Geol. Surv. Ind. (1984) Special Publication 12 199-205. Proc. of Sympet. Jaipur (1981).
3. Bhushan, S. K. (1984). Classification of Malani Igneous Suite; Symposium on three decades
4. of developments in Petrology, Mineralogy and Petrochemistry in India; Geol. Surv. Ind. Sp. Pub. 12, 199-205
5. Bhushan, S.K., 1985. Malani volcanism in Western Rajasthan. Indian Jour. Earth Sci., v.12 (1), pp.58-71.
6. Bhushan, S.K. (2000). Malani rhyolites: A review. Gondwana Res., v.3 (1), pp.65-77.
7. Bhushan, S.K. and Chittora, V.K. (1999). Late Proterozoic bimodal assemblage of Siwana subsidence structure, Western Rajasthan, India. Jour. Geol. Soc. India, v.53, pp.433-452.
8. Das, U.K., Ghantait, A. and Panda, L., 2015. Investigation for REE and other rare metals in Siwana area, Barmer district, Rajasthan. GSI, Unpublished Report, F.S. 2014-15.
9. Ghosh, P.K., 1932. Olivine basalt and basic tuffs in the Malani series at Jodhpur. Rec. Geo.Sun. md., 65(4), pp.339-41.
10. Hacket, C.A., 1881. On the Geology of the Aravalli Region. Central and Western. Rec. Geol. Surv. Ind. 14(4).
11. Lal, S., Ghosh, I. (2023). Reconnaissance survey for Rare Earth Elements and associated mineralisation around Sainji Ki Beri-Meli Area, northern part of Siwana Ring Complex, Siwana (SRC), Barmer District, Rajasthan, FS 2021-22.
12. Kumar, S. and Sharma, R., 2020. Reconnaissance survey for Rare Earth Elements mineralization in and around Chhappan-ka-Pahar, Siwana Ring Complex (SRC), Siwana area, Barmer district, Rajasthan. GSI, Unpublished Report, FS 2018-19.
13. La Touche, T. D. H., 1902. Geology of western Rajasthan. Mem. Geol. Surv. India, 35, 116.
14. Rastogi S. K. and Mukherjee T., 2015. Specialized thematic mapping of the Malani Igneous Suite (MIS) around Siwana, Barmer district, Rajasthan. GSI, Unpublished Report, F.S. 2013-15.

Plate-I: Proposed North of Kuship block on toposheet no 45C/06




Plate-II: Proposed North of Kuship block marked on large scale geological map (1:12500) showing TREE values in bed rock samples collected during FS 2021-22 by Geological Survey of India (After Lal and Ghosh, 2023)







Plate-III: Proposed North of Kuship block marked on large scale geological map (1:12500) showing planning of borehole



● Proposed BH

Plate IV: Proposed North of Kuship block marked on google earth showing planning of borehole

